

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO. Box 1456 Alexandra, Vacina 22M3-1456 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/650,456	08/29/2000	Richard W. Dennis H	1519.018	8503
75	590 05/07/2003			
Peter C Lando Wolf Greenfield & Sacks P C Federal Reserve Plaza			FXAMINER	
			DRODGE, JOSEPH W	
600 Atlantic Av Boston, MA 0	*****		ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1723	7
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

09/650,345

Applicant(s)

\_\_\_\_

Office Action Summary

DENNIS

Examiner

JOSEPH DRODGE

Art Unit **1723** 



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
	for Reply	TO EVOIDE O MONTHYCY FROM			
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM			
		no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th	e statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.			
· If NO j	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause th	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
Any re	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the				
Status	d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·			
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 🗓 This act	ion is non-final.			
3) 🗔	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rete Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 💢	Claim(s) 3-15	is/are allowed.			
6) 🗶	Claim(s) 1	is/are rejected.			
7) 💢	Claim(s) 2	is/are objected to.			
		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
	ation Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)[	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) :	□ All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:				
	1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.			
	2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Bure.	ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies not received.			
14}[	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
	The translation of the foreign language provisiona				
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachm		_			
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) X Int	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 4,5,6	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/650,456 Page 2

Art Unit: 1723

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1 Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maitland patent 5,985,155 of record, in view of Allen et al patent 5,422,014 also of record and Schroeder et al patent 6,535,795.

Application/Control Number: 09/650,456 Page 3

Art Unit: 1723

Maitland discloses time-based proportional control of feeding of halogen sterilization chemical to swimming pools based upon signals from sensors measuring pH, water temperature and flow rate (column 3, lines 29-36 and 43-53 and column 3, line 64-column 4, line 20).

The claims differ in requiring calculating of a set point offset value used in determining a measured signal value and in selecting an offset sensitivity value. Allen et al teach setpoint offset value calculations (column 10, line 48 through column 11, line 16, etc.) also for a system of feeding sterilizing chemical to swimming pools. Schroeder et al also teach calculations of set points for water treatment systems generally (column 13, lines 21-40) and suggest selection of offset sensitivity value by the terminology "the models continuously modify the offset to correspond to changing loads...", see column 13, lines 34-35.

Hence, At the time the present invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art to have modified the Maitland process, by also calculating set point offset values and selecting offset sensitivity values, as suggested by Allen et al and Schroeder et al, in order to compensate for changing flow volumes being treated and "real-world" conditions (i.e. bather load, etc.).

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/650,456 Page 4

Art Unit: 1723

Claim 2 distinguishes in view of recitation of the "setpoint offset value" being calculated by a factor including the proportion of sustained deviation from set point divided by proportional band width. The prior art., in particular is silent as to importance of band widths.

5. Claims 3-15 are allowed.

Claims 3-15 are similarly distinguished in view of setpoint offset value being calculated by a factor including the proportion of sustained deviation from setpoint by proportional band width.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph W. Drodge whose telephone number is (703) 308-0403. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from approximately 8:30 AM - 4:45 PM.

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310 or (703) 872-9311 for after final submissions. When filing a FAX in Tech Center 1700, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communication with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Joseph W. Drodge Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723

JWD